



Economic Dispatch of a Single Micro Gas Turbine Under CHP Operation with Uncertain Demands

Miel Sharf

Jether Energy Research

lliya Romm, Michael Palman, **Daniel Zelazo**, Beni Cukurel Technion



EU electricity generation trends

(taken from "*EU Energy, Transmission, and GHG Emissions: Trends to 2050 – Reference Scenario 2013*")
 19th ISRAELI SYMPOSIUM ON JET ENGINES & GAS TURBINES 17.11.2022

The Future Energy Landscape











Increasing integration Into the grid!



...intermittent and not on demand!

The Future Energy Landscape











environmental concerns

finite resource

The Future Energy Landscape









Natural Gas is clean, cheap, and safe!



Energy Independence in Israel





source: https://www.greenprophet.com/2012/02/israel-lebanon-natural-gas-discovery/

Leviathan - 22 trillion cubic feet Tamar – 10.8 trillion cubic feet Tanin - 3 trillion cubic feet

Natural Gas could transform Israel's energy market!

Natural Gas and the Smart Grid





Natural gas is the *ideal* near-term solution to bridge the gap between traditional energy generation and renewables

Micro-Gas Turbines for CHP





- runs on natural gas
- high power-to-weight ratio
- small terrain footprint
- reliable (few moving parts)
- quiet
- agile and flexible on-demand!

Electricity and Heating/Cooling Generation

MGT Integration into the Grid





utility



Meet the consumer power demand in an economically optimal way

The Economic Dispatch Problem



Economic Dispatch is a short-term scheduling for the output of a number of electricity generation facilities required to **meet system demand** at the **lowest cost** subject to **operational constraints**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & J(P,H) & $$\\ s.t. & P = D_P & \\ & H = D_H & \\ & \text{operational constraints} \end{array}$$

The Economic Dispatch Problem





What is the cost of operating an MGT?

- relation of fuel consumption to heat and power output
- start-up and shut-down costs
- time constants for power delivery



Electricity and Heat Tariffs

- how much does electricity cost
- electricity market for buying and selling power



Consumer Needs

 what are the power and heat demand profiles for consumers

MGT Modeling



Recuperated MGT Simulation Model



MGT Modeling







Operational Constraints as discretized state-transition graph



- system "state" is shaft speed and bypass valve
- arrows indicate allowable transitions to new steady-states, and their time



Operational Constraints as discretized state-transition graph



- system "state" is shaft speed and bypass valve
- arrows indicate allowable transitions to new steady-states, and their time



Operational Constraints as discretized state-transition graph



MGT Dynamics can be represented by graphs

$$x_{GT}(t + c\Delta T) = f_{GT}(x_{GT}(t), u_{GT}(t))$$



\$\$ Costs can be assigned to each
edge

- relates to fuel price
- maintenance cost
- utility commitment and consumer demand



 $J(x_{GT}, u_{GT}, x_{UT}^P, x_{UT}^H)$

subject to

(MGT Dynamics)

 $\min_{\substack{x_{GT}, u_{GT}, x_{UT}^P, x_{UT}^H}}$

(Power Balance)

(Heat Balance)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{GT}(t + c\Delta T) &= f_{GT}(x_{GT}(t), u_{GT}(t)), \\ P_{GT}(x_{GT}(t)) + (x_{UT}^{P}(t) - P(t)) &= 0, \\ H_{GT}(x_{GT}(t)) + (x_{UT}^{H}(t) - H(t)) &= 0, \\ x_{GT}(t) &\in \{(p_i(t), h_j(t)), \ i = 1, \dots, \mathbf{s}, j = 1, \dots, \mathbf{v}\} \\ x_{UT}^{P}(t) &\geq 0, \ x_{UT}^{H}(t) \geq 0, \ t = 1, \dots, T. \end{aligned}$$

Optimization over a *directed acyclic graph* Shortest Path Algorithm – complexity is linear in #nodes+edges

* J. F. Rist, M. F. Dias, M. Palman, D. Zelazo, B. Cukurel, *Economic dispatch of a single micro-gas turbine under CHP operation*, Applied energy 200 (2017) 1–18.

A Fundamental Flaw



 $\min_{x_{GT}, u_{GT}, x_{UT}^{P}, x_{UT}^{H}}$ subject to (MGT Dynamics) (Power Balance)

(Heat Balance)

$$\begin{split} x_{GT}(t + c\Delta T) &= f_{GT}(x_{GT}(t), u_{GT}(t)), \\ P_{GT}(x_{GT}(t)) + (x_{UT}^P(t) - P(t)) &= 0, \\ H_{GT}(x_{GT}(t)) + (x_{UT}^H(t) - H(t)) &= 0, \\ x_{GT}(t) &\in \{(p_i(t), h_j(t)), \ i = 1, \dots, \mathbf{s}, j = 1, \dots, \mathbf{v}\} \\ x_{UT}^P(t) &\geq 0, \ x_{UT}^H(t) \geq 0, \ t = 1, \dots, T. \end{split}$$

power and heat demand are unknown!

- it is not possible to deterministically know the demand profiles
- we can only estimate based on data, forecast models, etc

19th ISRAELI SYMPOSIUM ON JET ENGINES & GAS TURBINES 17.11.2022

 $J(x_{GT}, u_{GT}, x_{UT}^P, x_{UT}^H)$







Shortest Path $\min_{\text{Path}_{s \to q}} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \text{Path}_{s \to q}} w_e : \text{Path}_{s \to q} \in \text{PATH}_{s \to q}(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$ Robust Shortest Path $\min_{\text{Path}_{s \to q}} \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \text{Path}_{s \to q}} w_e : \text{Path}_{s \to q} \in \text{PATH}_{s \to q}(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$

- generally hard to solve!
- NP-hard for general uncertainty sets



Robust Shortest Path

$$\min_{\text{Path}_{s \to q}} \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \text{Path}_{s \to q}} w_e : \text{Path}_{s \to q} \in \text{PATH}_{s \to q}(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$$

 \mathcal{L}_{∞} uncertainty

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ (P(t), H(t))_{t=1}^{T} : \frac{|P(t) - P_0(t)| \le \Delta P(t),}{|H(t) - H_0(t)| \le \Delta H(t)} \right\}$$

mixed
$$\mathcal{L}_1/\mathcal{L}_\infty$$
 uncertainty

$$\mathcal{W} = \begin{cases} P(t) = P_0(t) + \eta_1^P(t) + \eta_\infty^P(t), \\ H(t) = H_0(t) + \eta_1^H(t) + \eta_\infty^H(t), \\ \sum_{t=1}^T \left[|\delta_{P,t} \eta_1^P(t)| + |\delta_{H,t} \eta_1^H(t)| \right] \le \mu_1, \\ |\eta_\infty^P(t)| \le \Delta P(t), |\eta_\infty^H(t)| \le \Delta H(t), \ \forall t \end{cases}$$

- demand uncertainty "ball"
- equivalent to "normal" SP problem
- depending on confidence interval, solution may be too conservative or not robust
- attempts to deal with unforeseen short demand spikes and long-term demand bias
- computationally more expensive (order because square of edges)



Robust Shortest Path

$$\min_{\text{Path}_{s \to q}} \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \text{Path}_{s \to q}} w_e : \text{Path}_{s \to q} \in \text{PATH}_{s \to q}(\mathcal{G}) \right\}$$

 \mathcal{L}_{∞} uncertainty

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ (P(t), H(t))_{t=1}^{T} : \frac{|P(t) - P_0(t)| \le \Delta P(t),}{|H(t) - H_0(t)| \le \Delta H(t)} \right\}$$

mixed
$$\mathcal{L}_1/\mathcal{L}_\infty$$
 uncertainty

$$\mathcal{W} = \begin{cases} P(t) = P_0(t) + \eta_1^P(t) + \eta_\infty^P(t), \\ H(t) = H_0(t) + \eta_1^H(t) + \eta_\infty^H(t), \\ \sum_{t=1}^T \left[|\delta_{P,t} \eta_1^P(t)| + |\delta_{H,t} \eta_1^H(t)| \right] \le \mu_1, \\ |\eta_\infty^P(t)| \le \Delta P(t), |\eta_\infty^H(t)| \le \Delta H(t), \ \forall t \end{cases}$$

- demand uncertainty "ball"
- equivalent to "normal" SP problem
- depending on confidence interval, solution may be too conservative or not robust
- attempts to deal with unforeseen short demand spikes and long-term demand bias
- computationally more expensive (order because square of edges)





Residential Building

residential electricity tariff neighborhood of 20 apartment buildings Demand and Tariff Data

US DOE 2004

- consider 4 "typical" days (24 hours in 15sec intervals): winter, spring, summer autumn
- demand forecasting based on previous 2 week data (mean and standard deviation)
- compare following algorithms:
 - *benchmark* : ED problem with perfect knowledge of demand
 - nominal : ED problem without demand uncertainty
 Image Angedeling
 - $\circ \mathscr{L}_{m}$ /r \mathscr{D} bust algorithm
 - o **robust algorithm**





- based on data from 5.2.2004 (winter day)
- note conservativeness compared to benchmark
- heat driven operation





- based on data from 24.4.2004 (spring day)
- nominal and 2nd robust algorithm behave the same
- 1st robust results in different trajectory
- heat driven operation

•

•

•









- benchmark is maintenance driven – shuts MGT down
- 2nd robust algorithm captures and a company of this as well
 this as well
- 1st robust and nominal algorithms do not





Schedule cost in \$	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn
(Reduction in excess cost in %)	Feb. 5th	Mar. 24th	Jun. 28th	Sep. 19th
Benchmark case	293.02	196.86	188.83	126.48
Nominal algorithm	299.39	202.30	191.35	133.32
First robust algorithm	298.48	202.16	191.35	133.32
	(14.29%)	(2.57%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)
Second robust algorithm	299.16	202.30	188.83	126.48
	(3.61%)	(0.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)

Future Directions



Multi-Unit ED	 implementation with MGT model extension to combined CHP
Robust Multi-Unit ED	 extension of robust ED to multi- unit case
Hardware-in-the- loop	simulation with real MGT"virtual" smart grid
Real-time MGT Control	Utility Demand Micro-Gas Turbine Utility Optimization Utility Optimization Utility Optimization Utility Utility Optimization Utility U

Conclusions





Micro-Gas Turbines using natural gas is an economically viable solution towards a distributed power generation economy

Detailed modeling required to gain a better understanding of the economic operational modes of the MGT

robust optimization methods can manage demand uncertainty in numerically tractable way

Acknowledgements



Miel Sharf Jether Energy Research

Ilya Romm, Michael Palman, Daniel Zelazo, Beni Cukurel Technion

Miel Sharf, Iliya Romm, Michael Palman, Daniel Zelazo, Beni Cukurel, *Economic dispatch of a single micro gas turbine under CHP operation with uncertain demands*, Applied Energy, Volume 309, 2022, 118391.

Questions?



