A PASSIVITY ANALYSIS FOR NONLINEAR CONSENSUS ON BALANCED DIGRAPHS

EUROPEAN CONTROL CONFERENCE 2025

Fengyu Yue and Daniel Zelazo

June 25, 2025





MULTI-AGENT NETWORKS



Applications:

- ► Formation flying
- ► Power grids
- Automated transportation networks...

MULTI-AGENT NETWORKS



Applications:

- ► Formation flying
- ► Power grids
- Automated transportation networks...

Fundamental problem: Output consensus, Stabilization

Multi-agent networks: A group of SISO agents Σ_i interact over a graph \mathcal{G}

$$\Sigma_{i} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i} = f_{i}(x_{i}, u_{i}) \\ y_{i} = h_{i}(x_{i}, u_{i}) \end{cases}, i \in [1, n]$$

Multi-agent networks: A group of SISO agents Σ_i interact over a graph \mathcal{G}

$$\Sigma_i : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = f_i(x_i, u_i) \\ y_i = h_i(x_i, u_i) \end{cases}, i \in [1, n]$$



Multi-agent networks: A group of SISO agents Σ_i interact over a graph \mathcal{G}

$$\Sigma_i : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = f_i(x_i, u_i) \\ y_i = h_i(x_i, u_i) \end{cases}, i \in [1, n]$$



Edges: $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ Distributed controllers

Multi-agent networks: A group of SISO agents Σ_i interact over a graph \mathcal{G}

$$\Sigma_{i} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i} = f_{i}(x_{i}, u_{i}) \\ y_{i} = h_{i}(x_{i}, u_{i}) \end{cases}, i \in [1, n]$$

$$\Pi_k : \begin{cases} \dot{\eta}_k = \phi_k(\eta_k, \zeta_k) \\ \mu_k = \psi_k(\eta_k, \zeta_k) \end{cases}, k \in [1, m] \end{cases}$$



Edges: $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ Distributed controllers

Multi-agent networks: A group of SISO agents Σ_i interact over a graph \mathcal{G}

$$\Sigma_{i} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i} = f_{i}(x_{i}, u_{i}) \\ y_{i} = h_{i}(x_{i}, u_{i}) \end{cases}, i \in [1, n]$$

$$\Pi_k : \begin{cases} \dot{\eta}_k = \phi_k(\eta_k, \zeta_k) \\ \mu_k = \psi_k(\eta_k, \zeta_k) \end{cases}, k \in [1, m] \end{cases}$$

Output consensus problem: Design distributed Π_k 's, such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (y_i(t) - y_j(t)) = 0, \ \forall i, j$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) \in S$$

where $S = \operatorname{span}(1)$ denotes the agreement space.



Edges: $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ Distributed controllers



2

$$\Sigma_i^I : \dot{x}_i(t) = u_i(t), \ y_i(t) = x_i(t)$$
 a group of integrators

ι

$$\Sigma_i^I : \dot{x}_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad y_i(t) = x_i(t) \quad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$E_{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Indirected \mathcal{G} Incidence matrix

 $j \in \mathcal{N}(i)$

$$\Sigma_i^I : \dot{x}_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad y_i(t) = x_i(t) \quad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{O}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Undirected \mathcal{G} Incidence matrix
$$u_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ij}(x_j(t) - x_i(t))$$

$$\Sigma_i^I : \dot{x}_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad y_i(t) = x_i(t) \qquad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$\overbrace{\mathcal{G}}^{I} : \dot{x}_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad y_i(t) = x_i(t) \qquad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$E_{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Undirected \mathcal{G} Incidence matrix
$$u_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} w_{ij}(x_j(t) - x_i(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -E_{\mathcal{G}}WE_{\mathcal{G}}^T x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma_{i}^{I} : \dot{x}_{i}(t) = u_{i}(t), \quad y_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t) \quad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i}^{I} : \dot{x}_{i}(t) = u_{i}(t), \quad y_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t) \quad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Undirected \mathcal{G} Incidence matrix
$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -E_{\mathcal{G}}WE_{\mathcal{G}}^{T}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{cases}$$



Undirected Networks

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_i^I : \dot{x}_i(t) &= u_i(t), \ y_i(t) = x_i(t) & \text{a group of integrators} \\ & & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$$
 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} } \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}



Undirected Networks



Undirected Networks

$$\Sigma_{i}^{I} : \dot{x}_{i}(t) = u_{i}(t), \quad y_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t) \quad \text{a group of integrators}$$

$$\underbrace{\Sigma_{i}^{I} : \dot{x}_{i}(t) = u_{i}(t), \quad y_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t) \quad \text{a group of integrators}}_{\mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$\underbrace{Undirected \mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}} \quad \text{Incidence matrix} \quad \textbf{Directed } \mathcal{D} \quad \text{Out-incidence matrix}$$

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t)) \quad u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{o}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -E_{\mathcal{G}}WE_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -B_{o}WE_{\mathcal{D}}^{\top}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{cases}$$



Undirected Networks

$$\Sigma_{i}^{I} : \dot{x}_{i}(t) = u_{i}(t), \quad y_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t)$$
 a group of integrators

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \vdots \dot{x}_{i}(t) = u_{i}(t), \quad y_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t)$$
 a group of integrators

$$E_{\mathcal{G}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Directed \mathcal{D} $B_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
Directed \mathcal{D} Out-incidence matrix

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -E_{\mathcal{G}}WE_{\mathcal{G}}^{T}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{cases}$$

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{o}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -B_{o}WE_{\mathcal{D}}^{T}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{cases}$$

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{o}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -B_{o}WE_{\mathcal{D}}^{T}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{o}(i)} w_{ij}(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(t) = -B_{o}WE_{\mathcal{D}}^{T}x(t) \\ y(t) = x(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Undirected Networks
Directed Networks

Undirected Networks

3



Undirected ${\mathcal{G}}$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_n \mathbb{1}_n^\top x(0)$$

Average consensus





 $\textbf{Undirected} \ \mathcal{G}$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_n \mathbb{1}_n^\top x(0)$$







Directed $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=(q_1^\top x(0))\mathbb{1}_n$

Regular consensus





Undirected \mathcal{G}

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_n \mathbb{1}_n^\top x(0)$





$$\begin{split} & \text{Balanced } \mathcal{D}_b \\ & \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_n \mathbb{1}_n^\top x(0) \end{split}$$





Directed ${\mathcal D}$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=(q_1^\top x(0))\mathbb{1}_n$

Regular consensus





Undirected \mathcal{G}

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=\tfrac{1}{n}\mathbb{1}_n\mathbb{1}_n^\top x(0)$







$$\begin{split} & \text{Balanced } \mathcal{D}_b \\ & \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_n \mathbb{1}_n^\top x(0) \end{split}$$





Directed $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=(q_1^\top x(0))\mathbb{1}_n$

Regular consensus



For \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{D}_b :

- Achieve average consensus
- Trajectories may differ



Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$ Diffusively-coupled networks





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

Symmetric operator $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis √





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis \checkmark

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \geq \dot{V}(\eta(t))$





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis √

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \ge \dot{V}(\eta(t))$ \Rightarrow Passive $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$ $g^{\top}(t)y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t)$





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis √

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \ge \dot{V}(\eta(t))$ \Rightarrow Passive $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$ $q^{\top}(t)y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t)$

- A decoupled analysis
- Convergence, stability





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis √

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \geq \dot{V}(\eta(t))$ \Rightarrow Passive $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$ $g^{\top}(t)y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t)$

- A decoupled analysis
- Convergence, stability



Directed $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

• Asymmetric operator $B_o \Pi E_D^{\top}$



Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis √

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \geq \dot{V}(\eta(t))$ \Rightarrow Passive $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$

 $g^{\top}(t)y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t)$

- A decoupled analysis
- Convergence, stability



Directed $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

• Asymmetric operator $B_o \Pi E_{\mathcal{D}}^{\top}$

Proposition

If the following hold:

$$\square = I : \zeta(t) = \mu(t)$$

 $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{D}$ is Balanced,

then the operator $B_o \Pi E_{\mathcal{D}}^{\top}$ is passive.



Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis \checkmark

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \ge \dot{V}(\eta(t))$ \Rightarrow Passive $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$ $q^{\top}(t)y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t)$

- A decoupled analysis
- Convergence, stability



Directed $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

► Asymmetric operator B_oΠE^T_D Doesn't preserve passivity



Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$

- **Symmetric operator** $E_{\mathcal{G}} \Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$
- ► Passivity Analysis √

Passive $\Pi \quad \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t) \geq \dot{V}(\eta(t))$ \Rightarrow Passive $E_{\mathcal{G}}\Pi E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}$

 $g^{\top}(t)y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\top}y(t) = \mu^{\top}(t)\zeta(t)$

- A decoupled analysis
- Convergence, stability



- ► Asymmetric operator B_oΠE^T_D Doesn't preserve passivity
- Passivity Analysis?



Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$



Directed $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

 $E_{\mathcal{D}} = B_o + B_i$





Undirected $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{G})_E$



Directed $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

 $E_{\mathcal{D}} = B_o + B_i$

Decompose the feedback path of $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_{\alpha}}$

 $E_{\mathcal{D}} = B_o + B_i$



 $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D}, w) = (\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

- "External" input: $w(t) = B_i \mu(t)$
- Agent input: $u(t) = -B_o \mu(t)$
- Controller input: $\zeta(t) = E_{\mathcal{D}}^{\top} y(t)$

 $E_{\mathcal{D}} = B_o + B_i$



 $(\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D}, w) = (\Sigma, \Pi, \mathcal{D})_{B_o}$

- "External" input: $w(t) = B_i \mu(t)$
- Agent input: $u(t) = -B_o \mu(t)$
- Controller input: $\zeta(t) = E_{\mathcal{D}}^{\top} y(t)$





Output Consensus $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) \in \operatorname{span}(1) = S$



Submanifold $\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) = 0$

Output Consensus $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) \in \operatorname{span}(1) = S$



Submanifold & $\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) = 0$

Passivity Relations^[1] $u(t)^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) \ge l ||u(t)||^2 + e ||\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t))||^2$

 $z(t)^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) \ge l ||z(t)||^2 + e ||\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t))||^2$

Output Consensus $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) \in \operatorname{span}(1) = S$

[1] J. M. Montenbruck, M. Arcak, and F. Allgöwer, "An input-output framework for submanifold stabilization," IEEE TAC, 2017.



Submanifold & $\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) = 0$

Passivity Relations^[1] $u(t)^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) \ge l ||u(t)||^2 + e ||\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t))||^2$

 $z(t)^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) \ge l ||z(t)||^2 + e ||\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t))||^2$

Output Consensus $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) \in \operatorname{span}(1) = S$

[1] J. M. Montenbruck, M. Arcak, and F. Allgöwer, "An input-output framework for submanifold stabilization," IEEE TAC, 2017.

Output Consensus



Submanifold & $\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) = 0$

Passivity Relations^[1] $u(t)^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) \ge l ||u(t)||^2 + e ||\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t))||^2$

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) \in \operatorname{span}(1) = S$

 $z(t)^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t)) \ge l ||z(t)||^2 + e ||\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y(t))||^2$

Stabilization

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0 \in S$$





$$D_i^o: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = f_i(x_i(t), u_i(t)), \\ y_i(t) = h_i(x_i(t)), & i \in [1, n] \end{cases}$$

(Outputs only depend on states)



$$x_{i}^{o}: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = f_{i}(x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t)), \\ y_{i}(t) = h_{i}(x_{i}(t)), & i \in [1, n] \end{cases}$$

(Outputs only depend on states)

How can we connect output strict passivity and stabilization?



$${}_{i}^{o}: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = f_{i}(x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t)), \\ y_{i}(t) = h_{i}(x_{i}(t)), & i \in [1, n] \end{cases}$$

(Outputs only depend on states)

How can we connect output strict passivity and stabilization?

3-Step passivity-based analysis



- $\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$
 - $\circ \max(D_o)$: maximal out-degree

$$u = -B_o \mu$$

- $\blacktriangleright \Sigma_i^o$'s are:
 - Continuously differentiable
 - Output strictly passive (OP)



OP
$$\Sigma_i^o$$
: $u_i y_i \ge \dot{Q}_i(x_i) + \varepsilon_i y_i^2, \ \varepsilon_i > 0$

- $\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$
 - $\circ \max(D_o)$: maximal out-degree

$$\circ u = -B_o \mu$$

- $\blacktriangleright \Sigma_i^o$'s are:
 - Continuously differentiable
 - Output strictly passive (OP)



- $\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$
 - $\circ \max(D_o)$: maximal out-degree

$$\circ u = -B_o \mu$$

- $\blacktriangleright \Sigma_i^o$'s are:
 - Continuously differentiable
 - Output strictly passive (OP)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{OP}\ \Sigma_i^o: & u_i y_i \geq \dot{Q}_i(x_i) + \varepsilon_i y_i^2, \ \varepsilon_i > 0 \\ \mathsf{OP}\ \Sigma^o: & u^\top y \geq \sum \dot{Q}_i(x_i) + \varepsilon \|y\|_2^2, \ \varepsilon = \min(\varepsilon_i) \end{array}$$



(Σ^o, Π, D_b, w)

 max(D_o): maximal out-degree
 u = -B_oμ

 Σ_i^{o'}s are:

 Continuously differentiable
 Output strictly passive (OP)

 Goal:

 u^T Proj_{S[⊥]}(y) ≥ l||u||²+e|| Proj_{S[⊥]}(y)||²

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{OP} \ \Sigma_i^o & u_i y_i \geq \dot{Q}_i(x_i) + \varepsilon_i y_i^2, \ \varepsilon_i > 0 \\ \mathsf{OP} \ \Sigma^o & u^\top y \geq \sum \dot{Q}_i(x_i) + \varepsilon \|y\|_2^2, \ \varepsilon = \min(\varepsilon_i) \end{array}$$



(Σ^o, Π, D_b, w)

 max(D_o): maximal out-degree
 u = -B_oμ

 Σ^{o's}_is are:

 Continuously differentiable
 Output strictly passive (OP)

 Goal:

 u[⊤] Proj_{S[⊥]}(y) ≥ l||u||²+e|| Proj_{S[⊥]}(y)||²

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{OP}\ \Sigma_{i}^{o} \colon & u_{i}y_{i} \geq \dot{Q}_{i}(x_{i}) + \varepsilon_{i}y_{i}^{2}, \ \varepsilon_{i} > 0 \\ \mathsf{OP}\ \Sigma^{o} \colon & u^{\top}y \geq \sum \dot{Q}_{i}(x_{i}) + \varepsilon \|y\|_{2}^{2}, \ \varepsilon = \min(\varepsilon_{i}) \\ & u^{\top}\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y) = u^{\top}y - \frac{1}{n}u^{\top}\mathfrak{11}^{\top}y \geq \sum \dot{Q}_{i}(x_{i}) - \frac{1}{n}u^{\top}\mathfrak{11}^{\top}y + \varepsilon \|y\|_{2}^{2} \end{array}$$



 $(\Sigma^{o}, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_{b}, w)$ $\circ \max(D_{o}): \text{ maximal out-degree}$ $\circ u = -B_{o}\mu$

Proposition: Forward Path Passivity Relation

Assume that $\sum_{i}^{o's}$ are $OP-\varepsilon_i$ and with initial conditions that are asymptotically reachable from $\{0\}$. Let $\varepsilon = \min_i(\varepsilon_i)$. Then, it follows that $u^{\top} \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y) \ge \sum_{i}^{|\mathbb{V}|} \dot{Q}_i(x_i) - \|u\|_2 \|y\|_2 + \varepsilon \|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y)\|_2^2$,

and the passivity relation satisfies,

$$\langle u^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \geq -\frac{\max(D_o)}{\varepsilon} \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau})\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2.$$

 $\cdot^{\tau}:$ Truncate signals to $S=\operatorname{span}(\mathbbm{1})$ after time $t>\tau$



- $\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$
- Π_k 's are:
 - Output strictly passive (OP)



 $\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$

• Π_k 's are:

• Output strictly passive (OP)

Property of the incidence matrices of D_b :

$$E^{\top}y = \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(E^{\top}y) = E^{\top}\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y)$$



$$\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$$

• Π_k 's are:

• Output strictly passive (OP)

Property of the incidence matrices of D_b :

$$E^{\top}y = \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(E^{\top}y) = E^{\top}\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y).$$

OP Π_k : $\mu_k \zeta_k \ge \dot{W}_k + \alpha_k \mu_k^2, \ \alpha = \min(\alpha_k)$



 $\blacktriangleright (\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$

• Π_k 's are:

• Output strictly passive (OP)

► Goal:

 $z^\top\operatorname{Proj}_{S^\perp}(y) \geq l\|z\|^2 + e\|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^\perp}(y)\|^2$

Property of the incidence matrices of D_b :

$$E^{\top}y = \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(E^{\top}y) = E^{\top}\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y).$$

OP Π_k : $\mu_k \zeta_k \ge \dot{W}_k + \alpha_k \mu_k^2, \ \alpha = \min(\alpha_k)$



Proposition: Inner-Feedback Path Passivity Relation

Assume that the controllers \prod_k 's are OP- α_i . Then, it follows that,

$$\mu^{\top}\zeta = \mu^{\top}E^{\top}y = z^{\top}\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbb{E}|} \dot{W}_{k}(\eta_{k}) + \alpha \|\mu\|_{2}^{2},$$

and the passivity relation satisfies,

$$\langle z^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge -\lim_{t \to -\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbb{E}|} W_k(\eta_k(t)) + \alpha \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2.$$

Directed networks with balanced diagraphs $(\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$

Agents Σ_{i}^{o} : $\dot{x}_{i}(t) = f_{i}(x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t)), \ y_{i}(t) = h_{i}(x_{i}(t)), \ i \in [1, n]$

Theorem

Suppose the following conditions hold:

1. f_i and h_i s are continuously differentiable

2. OP
$$\Sigma_i^o \Rightarrow \langle u^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \geq -\frac{\max(D_o)}{\varepsilon} \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau})\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$$

3. OP $\Pi_k \Rightarrow \langle z^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \geq \lim_{t \to -\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbb{E}|} W_k(\eta_k(t)) + \alpha \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$

Directed networks with balanced diagraphs $(\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$

Agents Σ_{i}^{o} : $\dot{x}_{i}(t) = f_{i}(x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t)), \ y_{i}(t) = h_{i}(x_{i}(t)), \ i \in [1, n]$

Theorem

Suppose the following conditions hold:

1. f_i and h_i s are continuously differentiable

2. OP
$$\Sigma_i^o \Rightarrow \langle u^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge -\frac{\max(D_o)}{\varepsilon} \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau})\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$$

3. OP $\Pi_k \Rightarrow \langle z^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge \lim_{t \to -\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbb{E}|} W_k(\eta_k(t)) + \alpha \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$
4. $\alpha \ge \max(D_o)/\varepsilon, \max(D_o)$ is maximal out-degree of graph \mathcal{D}_b .

Directed networks with balanced diagraphs $(\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$

Agents Σ_{i}^{o} : $\dot{x}_{i}(t) = f_{i}(x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t)), \ y_{i}(t) = h_{i}(x_{i}(t)), \ i \in [1, n]$

Theorem

Suppose the following conditions hold:

1. f_i and h_i s are continuously differentiable

2. OP
$$\Sigma_i^o \Rightarrow \langle u^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge -\frac{\max(D_o)}{\varepsilon} \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau})\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$$

3. OP $\Pi_k \Rightarrow \langle z^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge \lim_{t \to -\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbb{E}|} W_k(\eta_k(t)) + \alpha \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$
4. $\alpha > \max(D_o)/\varepsilon, \max(D_o)$ is maximal out-degree of graph \mathcal{D}_b .

Then, the network $(\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$ is stabilized.

Directed networks with balanced diagraphs $(\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$

Agents Σ_{i}^{o} : $\dot{x}_{i}(t) = f_{i}(x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t)), \ y_{i}(t) = h_{i}(x_{i}(t)), \ i \in [1, n]$

Theorem

Suppose the following conditions hold:

1. f_i and h_i s are continuously differentiable

2. OP
$$\Sigma_i^o \Rightarrow \langle u^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge -\frac{\max(D_o)}{\varepsilon} \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau})\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$$

3. OP $\Pi_k \Rightarrow \langle z^{\tau}, \operatorname{Proj}_{S^{\perp}}(y^{\tau}) \rangle \ge \lim_{t \to -\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbb{E}|} W_k(\eta_k(t)) + \alpha \|\mu^{\tau}\|_{\mathscr{L}_2}^2$

4. $\alpha \geq \max(D_o)/\varepsilon$, $\max(D_o)$ is maximal out-degree of graph \mathcal{D}_b .

Then, the network $(\Sigma^o, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_b, w)$ is stabilized.

Recent results (Submit to CDC2025):

- Extend to general digraphs;
- A theorem on output consensus for systems consisting of passive agents.

CASE STUDY: NEURAL NETWORK

Systems

 $\Sigma_i^o: \dot{x}(t) = -a_i x_i(t) + u_i(t), \ y_i(t) = \tanh(x_i(t)), \ a_i > 0 \quad \text{OP-}a_i$

- Maximal out-degree: $max(D_o) = 2$
- Minimal passivity index of agents: $\varepsilon = \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha \ge \frac{4}{3}$



A balanced digraph

Outputs of agents

CASE STUDY: NEURAL NETWORK

Systems

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_i^o : \dot{x}(t) &= -a_i x_i(t) + u_i(t), \ y_i(t) = \tanh(x_i(t)), \ a_i > 0 \quad \text{OP-}a_i \\ \Pi_k : \mu_k(t) &= \frac{4}{3} \max(\zeta_k(t), 0) \quad \text{OP-}\frac{4}{3} \end{split}$$

- Maximal out-degree: $max(D_o) = 2$
- Minimal passivity index of agents: $\varepsilon = \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha \ge \frac{4}{3}$



A balanced digraph

Outputs of agents

CASE STUDY: NEURAL NETWORK

Systems

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_i^o : \dot{x}(t) &= -a_i x_i(t) + u_i(t), \ y_i(t) = \tanh(x_i(t)), \ a_i > 0 \quad & \mathsf{OP-}a_i \\ \Pi_k : \mu_k(t) &= \frac{4}{3} \max(\zeta_k(t), 0) \quad & \mathsf{OP-}\frac{4}{3} \end{split}$$

- Maximal out-degree: $max(D_o) = 2$
- Minimal passivity index of agents: $\varepsilon = \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha \ge \frac{4}{3}$
- A sufficient condition





A balanced digraph

Outputs of agents

Summary:

- The passivity of operator $B_o \Pi E_{\mathcal{D}}^{\top}$: passive only when \mathcal{D} is balanced.
- Loop decomposition: A general approach that enables a passivity analysis for the network systems with directed coupling.
- Stabilization of network systems over balanced digraphs: passivity-based conditions

Future work:

- complex dynamics, other passivity properties.
- ► A sufficient and necessary condition.

Thank-You!



